

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF ALASKA

WILLIAM M. WALKER,)	
)	
Appellant,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
STATE OF ALASKA, DEPARTMENT OF)	Supreme Court Case No. S-15019
NATURAL RESOURCES, COMMISSIONER)	
OF THE STATE OF ALASKA DEPARTMENT)	
OF NATURAL RESOURCES and ATTORNEY)	
GENERAL OF THE STATE OF ALASKA,)	
)	
Appellees.)	
)	

Trial Court Case No. 3AN-12-07519 CI

MOTION FOR DISMISSAL OF APPEAL

Appellant William M. Walker moves to dismiss the above-captioned appeal under Appellate Rule 511(b).

Appellant Walker brought this appeal as a citizen of Alaska because of his sincere belief that several provisions of the Pt. Thomson agreement are contrary to Alaska law. Specifically, Appellant Walker believes Alaska law requires that the process of approving the Pt. Thomson agreement (1) must provide an opportunity for public notice and comment, (2) must be supported by findings by the Department of Natural Resources (“Department”) that such an agreement is in the best interest of Alaska, (3) must meet our Constitution’s fiduciary requirement that the development of our natural resources be for the maximum benefit of Alaskans, (4) must comply with the statutes, as enacted by the

MOTION FOR DISMISSAL OF APPEAL

William M. Walker v. State of Alaska, Department of Natural Resources et al., S-15019

Page 1 of 3

**BRENA, BELL &
CLARKSON, P.C.**
810 N Street, Suite 100
Anchorage, AK 99501
Phone: 907.258.2000
Fax: 907.258.2001
www.brenalaw.com

Legislature, governing the development of our natural resources, and (5) must comply with the regulations, as promulgated by the Department, governing the development of our natural resources. Appellant Walker also believes that reframing the Pt. Thomson agreement as a settlement should not have permitted the agreement to bypass the Department's administrative process, to bypass the Department's continuing authority to ensure such development continues to comply with our statutes and regulations, or to bypass our courts' continuing authority to review such agreements. Appellant Walker continues to maintain that these positions correctly state Alaska law and sound public policy for the development of our natural resources.

Appellant Walker is now the Governor of Alaska. As Governor, Appellant Walker intends to continue to work to ensure that the Pt. Thomson field is developed in a fashion that maximizes the benefit to all Alaskans, as well as to ensure that any future agreements concerning the development of our natural resources meet the high standards and fiduciary obligations set forth in Alaska law. Appellant Walker hereby moves to dismiss this individual suit in order to better serve the citizens of Alaska as their Governor.

Respectfully submitted this 13th day of February, 2015.

BRENA, BELL & CLARKSON, PC
Attorney for Appellant William M. Walker

By: Robin O. Brena
Robin O. Brena
Alaska Bar No. 8410089

BRENA, BELL &
CLARKSON, P.C.
810 N Street, Suite 100
Anchorage, AK 99501
Phone: 907.258.2000
Fax: 907.258.2001
www.brenalaw.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE AND FONT

I hereby certify that on the 13th day of February, 2015 a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served upon each of the following via electronic and U. S. First Class Mail. I further certify that the font used in this document was Times New Roman 13.

Rebecca Kruse, Esq.
Office of the Attorney General
Alaska Department of Law
1031 W. 4th Ave, Suite 200
Anchorage, Alaska 99501-1994

By: Tina Fisher
Tina Fisher

**BRENA, BELL &
CLARKSON, P.C.**
810 N Street, Suite 100
Anchorage, AK 99501
Phone: 907.258.2000
Fax: 907.258.2001
www.brenalaw.com